

REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Examiner alleged there were three distinct species, those being the Figure 1 (Claims 25-32), Figure 2 (Claims 33-34) and Figure 3 (Claims 1-24).

Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's allegations and respectfully transverse them. It is believed that the Examiner has failed to review the figures carefully and correlate them with the Claims. Applicants seek to patent the system, the entire system. This is shown generally in Figure 1 and by components in Figures 2 and 3. Specifically, Figure 1 shows the general configuration of the home and remote locations. Figure 2 shows the details of the home location of Figure 1 and Figure 3 shows the details of the remote location at Figure 1.


Nevertheless, Applicants provisionally elect Species 1, shown in Figure 1, and pertaining to Claims 25-32.

The Examiner and Commissioner are hereby authorized to charge any additional fees associated with this Response or refund any overpayments associated with this Response to our deposit account, Deposit Account No. 50-2803.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 12, 2007

By: _____


Roger H. Stein, Reg. No. 31,882
SCHWARTZ COOPER CHARTERED
180 North LaSalle Street, Suite 2700
Chicago, Illinois 60601
312.346.1300

CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSIT

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited electronically, via EFS-Web on July 12, 2007.


Linda K. Johnson